

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,950	01/25/2006	Bruno Bassi	5784	3574
-0,50	590 01/24/2007 AND MATTARE, LTD		EXAMINER	
10 POST OFFIC	CE ROAD - SUITE 110	•	TRAN, LEN	
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Amplication No.	(A		
Office Action 0		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/563,950	BASSI, BRUNO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Len Tran	1725		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28-50</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>28-50</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 January 2006</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration of the oath of the oath or declaration of the oath	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/06.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	re		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

-1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 28-33, 44-46, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Voisin (US 3,874,440).

As to claims 28 and 29, Voisin discloses a casting procedure comprising the steps of providing a mold with open risers (18) for feeding the casting and attracting any slag, fumes, and gases in the molten metal, forcing the molten metal into the mold from a furnace (8) situated below, and sealing the top of the mold using a plate (4), which prevent the molten metal from overflowing and which is connected to a vacuum device (col. 3, lines 15-19).

As to claim 30, the upper part of the mold has cores (figure).

As to claim 31, the upper part of the mold using metal cope (figure).

As to claims 32 and 45, Voisin discloses a casting machine comprising lower main structure holding a furnace (8), an upper main structure resting on the lower structure, a base plate (6) on the upper structure, a cooled plate (6 and 6a), a plate holder (7) fastened to the ejector plate (17).

As to claim 33, the cooled plate (6) fitted with an opening for passage of the ejector plate (figure).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,950

Art Unit: 1725

As to claim 44, the main lower structure is removable from the upper structure (figure).

As to claims 46 and 48, the upper plate (4) to prevent metal from overflowing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 41, 42, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voisin (US '440), and further in view of Farkas (US 6,499,529).

Voisin discloses casting apparatus comprising upper part with metal cope (4), lower main structure for holding a furnace, a base plate (6), a cooling plate (6 and 6a), a plate holder (7).

Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

Voisin fails to teach a vacuum chamber communicating with channels on the metal cope and wherein the mold is tiltable.

However, Farkas discloses a rotatable mold having vacuum chamber connecting to the core (22) and to remove any gas in the die cavity to reduce gas entrapment (col. 4, lines 45-49, col. 7, lines 17-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to have a rotatable mold with vacuum as taught by Farkas, in Voisin, in order to reduce gas entrapment during casting.

6. Claims 35-40, 43-44 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voisin (US '440).

Voison discloses slides which runs along the plate holder and slots to receive the slides, two side molds, one side of the mold is made in at least two overlapping parts, and the lower main structure is removable from the upper structure.

Voison fails to teach quick lock means and mushrooms moved in the slots to lock the ejector plate and plate holder. Voison also fails to teach sides are moved by hydraulic cylinders.

However, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have quick lock means, having mushrooms in the slot to lock the two plates as well as having hydraulic cylinders to move the two sides, since the ejector plates are movable and therefore would need a locking mean to hold the ejector plate together. In addition,

Application/Control Number: 10/563,950

Art Unit: 1725

the side molds would be obvious to use hydraulic cylinders to move, since the metal molds are heavy and hot during and after casting.

Page 5

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

en Tran Primary Exami

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

January 17, 2007